

FINAL DRAFT
BASIC PUBLICATION

54th CIML Meeting

Bratislava 2019

SUBMITTED
FOR CIML
APPROVAL

Revision of B 6:

Directives for OIML technical work
Parts 1 and 2

(Information)



ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE
DE MÉTROLOGIE LÉGALE

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION
OF LEGAL METROLOGY

Result of online voting and comments

BIML/SC 3: Directives for Technical Work

p 3: Revision of B 6 Directives for Technical Work

PG phase: 1 CD circulated to PG for vote and comment

Deadline: 2019-05-20

Voted Yes: 7

Voted No: 0

Abstain: 0

Country	Action
AUSTRALIA	Voted Yes
FRANCE	Voted Yes
GERMANY	Voted Yes
JAPAN	Voted Yes with comments
POLAND	Voted Yes
UNITED KINGDOM	Voted Yes
UNITED STATES	Voted Yes with comments

Template for comments and convener's observations

Date: 2019-05-21

Document: BIML_SC3_p2_N019

Project: BIML/SC 3/p 2

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0001 JP1	1	4.6 CIML Member and 4.8 Corresponding Member Representative	2 nd sentence	Gen	<p>We learned from the history that the text in the parenthesis “(without committing their government or administration)” in the two clauses was added to the 2012 edition of B 6. This addition arose from opinions of Netherland at the 46th CIML and in ADWH (ad-hoc WG to B 6) in 2011-2012. They pointed out that the word “representative” in these clauses should not be interpreted as a “person who is fully mandated to commit the Member State government”. This opinion was based on the 5th paragraph of Article XIII of B 1 cited below.</p> <p><i>They shall give the Committee the benefit of their experience, advice and work but shall not commit their Government or their Administration.</i></p> <p>However, the meaning of “commit” is sometimes ambiguous. If the word means “be responsible”, many CIML members of governmental agencies ironically “commit their government or their administration” in their countries. We consider Article XIII intends originally that a CIML member should not bring the interest of their government into CIML and should keep a neutral position in CIML. Is this comprehension correct?</p>	<p>This is a confirmation of the comprehension of the text in the parenthesis and Article XIII. We will not request changes in these clauses if our comprehension is correct.</p>	<p>The meaning of “commit” is “pledge or bind (a person or an organization) to a certain course or policy”.</p> <p>The new text in 4.8 is derived from the existing text in 4.6. It is not planned to change use of the word “commit” in either case as this is the word used in Article XIII of the Convention.</p>
0002 US-1	1	4.9	b	ed	<p>Made comment on the last draft ...</p> <p>Reference in 4.9 b) should be to 5.6.1 c)</p>	Change reference	Agreed.
0003 US-2	1	5.13	a	te	<p>Made this comment on the last draft, but we did not receive a response from the Convener.</p> <p>Clause 5.13 a) requires a first draft be distributed within six months of starting a project ...</p> <p>While this may be fairly reasonable for a revision to an existing document ...</p>	<p>Differentiate in this section of B6 between a project that is a revision to an existing document (6 months) and a project that aims to create a brand-new document (12 months).</p>	<p>The convener noted the comment on the last draft, but a proposed change had not been submitted.</p> <p>The proposed change that has now been submitted is not agreed, as changes to timescales and/or voting rules are outside the scope of this revision.</p>

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and convener's observations

Date:2019-05-21

Document: BIML_SC3_p2_N019

Project: BIML/SC 3/p 2

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
					... six months is NOT at all reasonable if the document will be fairly complex and the PG is starting from a blank sheet of paper. (This will cause a “Red light” on the dashboard.)		
0004 US-3	1	6.3		ed	A new sentence was added at the end of Clause 6.3 that includes the phrase “an entire recast of an OIML Recommendation ...” It is not clear what this phrase means.	Suggest to either re-word this sentence ... or better explain what it is supposed to mean.	Agreed. The wording “entire reorganization” will be used in place of “entire recast”.
0005 JP2	1	6.3 OIML Recommendations	The last sentence	Te/Ed	We support the comment of France to 1WD (0030 & 0031). It is impossible to provide a complete comparison table in the early and middle phases of a revision procedure. The table may be finalized in DR for the CIML preliminary ballot.	Propose an addition in the sentence as shown below. <i>In the case of an entire recast of an OIML Recommendation, it is possible to include a simplified comparison table <u>in WDs and CDs</u>.</i>	Not agreed. The simplified comparison table is considered as part of the Recommendation (in the event of a recast), so it is not necessary to explicitly reference the WD and CD stages of development in this subclause.
0006 JP3	2	3.1.1 under Recommendations	All	Ed	We understood that Parts 1-4 are mandatory for the Recommendations used for type approval, but Part 5 is optional. Present text is ambiguous however.	We recommend the following text by separating Part 5 from others. <i>3.1.1 An OIML Recommendation concerned with a type of measuring instrument shall comprise the following <u>four</u> parts:</i> <i>Part 1 Technical and metrological requirements</i> <i>Part 2 Test procedures</i> <i>Part 3 Test report format (when applicable)</i> <i>Part 4 Type evaluation report format (when applicable)</i> <i><u>The following Part may be added optionally as specified in 6.3 of OIML B 6-1.</u></i> <i>Part 5 Verification and inspection procedures (this part is optional as specified in 6.3 of OIML B 6-1)</i>	Agreed.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Template for comments and convener's observations

Date:2019-05-21

Document: BIML_SC3_p2_N019

Project: BIML/SC 3/p 2

Country Code ¹	Part	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph/ Figure/Table	Type of comment ²	Comments	Proposed change	Convener's responses
0007 JP4	2	3.1.2 under Recommendations	All	Ed	The sentences of this clause are not clear. We consider the 3 rd sentence corresponds a case where a Recommendation is developed jointly with other organizations such as ISO and IEC.	We recommend the following text. <i>3.1.2 The underlined elements of 3.1.3 to 3.1.7 are mandatory in the respective Part. Other elements may be added optionally according to the nature of the instrument or its use. In the case, where the revision works accomplished by other international institutions are taken into account, the elements of these parts may be limited or added.</i>	Partially agreed. Subclause has been reworded to improve clarity.

¹ Country code (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China)

² Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial